

From: [Brett VandenHeuvel](#)
To: Szelag.Matthew@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: [Bart Mihailovich](#); [Chris Wilke](#); [Janette Brimmer](#); [Wendy Steffensen \(wendys@re-sources.org\)](mailto:Wendy.Steffensen@re-sources.org)
Subject: Re: Waterkeepers Washington Request for Meeting
Date: Friday, June 15, 2012 1:47:46 PM
Attachments: [2011.11.17 Ltr to Ecology re Two-Stage Triennial Review.docx.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00184.htm](#)
[2011.12.20 CRITFC Comments on FCR Tech Doc.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00187.htm](#)
[2012.1.3 NWIFC comments on FCR tech support doc.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00190.htm](#)
[2012.1.18 Washington Waterkeeper Comments on FCR Tech Report.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00193.htm](#)
[2012.2.17.Ltr to Ecy CompSch Variance.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00196.htm](#)
[2012.4.19 Letter to Ecy from AWB.pdf](#)
[Untitled attachment 00199.htm](#)
[Business ImplementationMechanismsFeb2012.docx](#)
[Untitled attachment 00202.htm](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[Untitled attachment 00205.htm](#)

Matthew,
I've attached a few documents for your reference.

1. Three comment letters from Waterkeepers Washington and allies to Dept of Ecology re fish consumption and rulemaking
2. Comment letters from NWIFC and CRITFC
3. Association of Washington Business letter and Business Implementation Mechanism document

We are concerned about the proposals in the AWB letters, which we believe would greatly weaken our state's protection of water quality.

I recognize you may not have time to read these comments, so we will discuss some of these issues next week.

Best,
Brett